



# PISA – 16 November 2007

EXCHANGE  
EVALUATION

# Main objectives of final evaluation

- To assess the results of Exchange activities (according to the various participants and stakeholders involved)
- To focus on the impacts, the added value of transnational activities on the regional/national activities carried on by each DP

# How ? 3 questionnaires

<i>Questionnaires</i>	<i>Completed by ?</i>	<i>Number of answers</i>
▪ questionnaire n° 1 : summary of transnational activities or each DP	Each transnational coordinator	4
▪ questionnaire n° 2 : results and impact of Exchange according to coordinators	Each transnational coordinator	4
▪ questionnaire n° 3 : results and impact of Exchange according to DP members	Each national DP partner of the 4 countries	Emergendo : 2 MIC : 1 Se Reconstruire : 3 Longue Marche : 2

# Questionnaire n° 2 : results of activities ?

- Fulfilment of initial objectives of *EXCHANGE* ?
  - *Emergendo* : entirely.
  - *Se Reconstruire, MIC, Longue Marche* : moderately
- Fulfilment of initial objectives of the 4 Macro Activities ?
  - MA 1 : for 75% : moderately / For 25% : entirely
  - MA 2 : (2 answers) 100% : entirely
  - MA 3 : 100% : moderately
  - MA 4 : (3 answers). 80 % : moderately / 20% entirely
- Better answer to common underlined problems ?
  - 5% : not at all / 56% : moderately / 39% : entirely

# Questionnaire n° 2 : impacts of activities ?

## Impact of transnational activities on regional activities ?

- Positive and (but ?) moderate for all DPs
- No negative or unexpected impact mentioned
- 1 DP only mentions impacts on institutional partners
- Best practices ?

# Questionnaire n° 2 : Equal criteria ?

## Importance of EQUAL criteria for each MA ?

*Rate from 0 (not sufficient level) to 5 (extremely sufficient level)*

- Average for **gender** criteria : **2,7**  
MA 2 (3,3) > MA 1 (3,2) > MA 3 (2,3) = MA 4 (2,3)
- Average for **innovation** criteria : **2,8**  
MA 2 (4,6) > MA 3 (2,6) > MA 1 (2,5) > MA 4 (1,6)
- Average for **empowerment** criteria : **2,9**  
MA 3 (3,6) = MA 2 (3,6) > MA 1 ( 2,75) > MA 4 (2)

# Questionnaire n° 3 : impacts on objectives and organizations

- **Contribution of transnational activities to fulfilling objectives of regional activities ?**
  - 18% of answers : not at all
  - 59% of answers : moderately
  - 23% of answers : a lot
- **Impact on the activities your organization was in charge of at the regional level ?**
  - 100% of answers : positive, moderate impact

# Questionnaire n°3: main impacts on your organization / other organizations ?

## ➤ Your organization

- Increase, strengthening of knowledge (Chinese migration, other countries legislation, trafficked persons)
- Checking, improving one's working methodologies, new skills
- Creation of common standards and procedures in the assistance to trafficked persons
- Development of network, identification of possible new partners

## ➤ Other organizations ?

- 57% of answers: no impact / 43% of answers: moderate impact



# Conclusions ?

- 87% of organizations answering to questionnaire 3, plan to carry on exchanges with other Exchange organizations
- All activities foreseen were realized (% of implementation from 90% to 100%)

But

- Only moderate impact of transnational activities on regional activities carried on by each DP
- Exchange of knowledge and know how but not transfer (yet ?) of new practices in the partner countries

# Possible explanations ?

- Not clear enough definition of common objectives at the beginning
- Not enough link between transnational and national/regional activities
- Not enough time devoted to transnational activities compared to national activities
- Too big differences between the DPs and the countries
- Transfer requires time